

International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences ISSN: 2319-7706 Special Issue-11 pp. 1786-1793 Journal homepage: <u>http://www.ijcmas.com</u>



## **Original Research Article**

# Evaluation of Rice Genotypes for Yield Parameters under Low Light Intensity

#### R. J. Navatre\*, M. H. Keluskar, V. J. Gimhavnekar, M. M. Burondkar, P.J. Bonde and V. D. Adsul

Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli, India

\*Corresponding author

#### A B S T R A C T

Keywords

Rice, Low light intensity, Genotype To evaluate the yield parameters of different rice genotypes under low light intensity present experiment was conducted at RARS, Karjat during *Kharif* 2017 by *factorial* randomized block design with three replications. The experiment consisted of two factors *viz.* two treatments ( $T_1$ -Without shade net and  $T_2$ -Shade net condition). Data were collected on Total number of spikelets per panicle, Number of filled spikelets per panicle, Panicle length (cm), 1000 grain weight (g), Grain yield per plant (g), Straw weight per plant (g), Harvest Index (%). Genotype Palghar-2 showed significantly maximum grain yield per plant and harvest index under shade net condition whereas genotype Ratnagiri-4 recorded significantly maximum grain yield per plant and Harvest index under without shade net condition.

## Introduction

Rice (Oryza s ativa L.) is the staple food of more than half of the world population. It is the most consumed cereal grain in the world, constituting the dietary staple food for more than half of the planets human population. It is cultivated over an area of 161.85 m ha with a production of 483.8 million tonnes (Anonymous, 2017-18). In Konkan region, area under rice was 3.79 lakh/ha, with production 16.10 lakh tons and productivity 42.5 g/ha. (Anonymous 2016-17) Light is the main energy source for plant photosynthesis and is an environmental signal used to trigger growth and structural differentiation in plants. Without light, the net photosynthesis rate shows a negative value because of discharging CO<sub>2</sub> through dark respiration (Chen et al., 2014).

Light intensity is very important component for plant growth, development, survival and crop productivity (Wang et al., 2013). The researchers have been evaluated the effects of variation in light regimes on morphological characteristics, physiological characteristics, yield and quality of agricultural crops because difficult to control light intensity (Wang et al., 2007). Shade has pronounced effect on the growth of rice. It tends to increase plant height, decrease tillers and panicle number hill<sup>-1</sup>, grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> and grain yield. Shade stimulates cellular expansion and rapid cell division resulting in increasing leaf length and plant height (Schoch, 1972). It is well grasped that shading is major negative effect of trees on crop growth, which constitute a major obstacle for farmer's adaptation of agro

forestry. To confirm effect of shading on rice growth and gaining more knowledge in this respect.

## Materials and Methods

experiment comprised of sixteen The varieties of rice laid out in factorial randomized block design with three replications in artificial shading and open condition. The plots were artificially shaded by using 50 per cent shade net. Such that 50 to 60 per cent of natural light was only received by the crop canopy. The shades were erected in the field in the form of tents. The net was fastened tight to the wire which served as a lining connecting the wooden (bamboo) supports all round both at the bottom and top of the supports. The shade constructed leaving one foot height space from the ground open for ventilation. Data were recorded for the yield parameters such as total number of spikelets per panicle, Number of filled spikelets per panicle, Panicle length (cm), 1000 grain weight (g), Grain yield per plant (g), Straw weight per plant (g), Harvest Index (%). Following were the genotype used for the experiment Sahyadri-5, Karjat -5-17-25-29-6, Karjat-8, Karjat -5-10-10-16-13, Karjat-9, Karjat -BM4, Ratnagiri-4, Karjat -6-22-9-14-13, Ratnagiri-5, Karjat -5-8-13-15-7, Palghar-2, Karjat -5-7-10-12-2, Phondaghat-1, Karjat-7 (Check Variety), Karjat -1-1-12-24-1-13, Swarnaprabha (Check Variety).

## **Results and Discussions**

Significantly higher number of spikelet's per panicle was observed in  $T_1$  than  $T_2$  at harvest stage In case of genotypes, mean total number of spikelet's per panicle differ significantly. Significantly higher total number of spikelet's per panicle (120.88) were observed in Palghar-2 which was at par with Ratnagiri-5, Ratnagiri-4, Karjat-5-10-

10-16-13 and Karjat-7over other genotypes. In case of interaction, mean of total number of spikelet's per panicle differs significantly at harvest stage. Palghar-2 showed higher total number of spikelet's in  $T_1$  than others. Among the genotypes in  $T_2$  treatment average number of spikelet's per panicle was 76.61 to be recorded, while genotype Ratnagiri-4 higher number recorded (102.45)of spikelet's than average number of spikelet's per panicle. The maximum reduction was found in Karjat-BM4 (34.0 %) followed by Karjat-5-7-10-12-2 (32.6 %) and the minimum reduction was found in Ratnagiri-4 (9.2 %) followed by Sahyadri-5 (21.4 %) in total number of spikelet's per panicle. Reduction in total number of spikelet's per panicle of rice under shade as compared to without shade net condition was also recorded by Yoshida et al., (1976),Gbadamosi et al., (2014), Venkateswarlu et al., (1977), Nakano, (2000), Voleti et al., (1996), Vergara et al., (1976), Singh et al., (2005), Yang et al., (2011), Patma et al., (1993) and Thangraj and Sivasubramaniam (1990).

Significantly higher number of filled spikelet's per panicle was observed in  $T_1$  than  $T_2$  at harvest stage. In case of genotypes, mean number of filled spikelet's per panicle differ significantly. Significantly higher number of filled spikelet's per panicle (84.64) were observed in Ratnagiri-4 over other genotypes (Table 1).

In case of interaction, mean of number of filled spikelet's per panicle differs significantly at harvest stage. Ratnagiri-4 showed number of filled spikelet's per panicle in  $T_1$  than others. Among the genotypes in  $T_2$  treatment average number of filled spikelet's per panicle was 54.74 to be recorded, while genotype Palghar-2 (57.77) recorded higher number of filled spikelet's than average number of filled spikelet's per panicle spikelet's per panicle spikelet's per panicle spikelet's than average number of filled spikelet's per panicle spik

panicle. The maximum reduction was found in Ratnagiri-4 (35.9 %) followed by Sahyadri-5 (18.7 %) and Karjat-9 (18.5 %) and the minimum reduction was found in Karjat-BM4 (3.2 %) followed by Karjat-5-7-10-12-2 (4.7 %) in number of filled spikelet's per panicle. Reduction in number of filled spikelet's per panicle of rice under shade net as compared to without shade net condition was also recorded by Venkateswarlu *et al.*, (1977), Barmudoi *et al.*, (2016), Fageria, (2007), Ahmad *et al.*, (2009), Yang *et al.*, (2011), Venkateswarlu *et al.*, (1977), Cai *et al.*, (1999), Voleti *et al.*, (1996), Vergara *et al.*, (1976) and Thangraj and Sivasubramaniam (1990).

<b>Table.1</b> Effects of normal light (Without Shade Net) and low light (Shade Net) on total number
of spikelet's per panicle and number of filled spikelet's per panicle at harvesting stage of rice
(Oryza sativa L.)

	Total no. of spikelet's per panicle				No. of filled spikelet's per panicle				
Genotypes	T <sub>1</sub>	$T_2$	Mean	Reduction (%)	$T_1$	<b>T</b> <sub>2</sub>	Mean	Reduction (%)	
Sahyadri-5 (G <sub>1</sub> )	108.12	84.99	96.55	21.4	70.14	57.04	63.59	18.7	
Karjat-8 (G <sub>2</sub> )	98.47	69.81	84.14	29.1	57.63	51.99	54.81	9.8	
Karjat-9 (G <sub>3</sub> )	87.68	68.64	78.16	21.7	56.70	46.23	51.46	18.5	
Ratnagiri-4 (G <sub>4</sub> )	112.77	102.45	107.61	9.2	84.64	54.26	69.45	35.9	
Ratnagiri-5 (G <sub>5</sub> )	114.29	88.79	101.54	22.3	73.51	60.29	66.90	18.0	
Palghar-2 (G <sub>6</sub> )	120.88	83.31	102.10	31.1	69.02	63.76	66.39	7.6	
Phondaghat-1 (G <sub>7</sub> )	109.45	79.80	94.63	27.1	65.89	57.77	61.83	12.3	
Karjat-1-12-24-1-13 (G <sub>8</sub> )	100.86	71.52	86.19	29.1	59.03	53.19	56.11	9.9	
Karjat-5-17-25-29-6 (G <sub>9</sub> )	95.33	69.12	82.22	27.5	57.09	50.24	53.66	12.0	
Karjat-5-10-10-16-13 (G <sub>10</sub> )	112.72	81.23	96.98	27.9	67.09	59.57	63.33	11.2	
Karjat-BM4 (G <sub>11</sub> )	92.06	60.79	76.43	34.0	50.20	48.58	49.39	3.2	
Karjat-6-22-9-14-13 (G <sub>12</sub> )	100.17	77.99	89.08	22.1	64.40	52.74	58.57	18.1	
Karjat-5-8-13-15-7 (G <sub>13</sub> )	102.51	70.15	86.33	31.6	57.95	54.08	56.02	6.7	
Karjat-5-7-10-12-2 (G <sub>14</sub> )	101.07	68.13	84.60	32.6	56.25	53.61	54.93	4.7	
Karjat-7 (G <sub>15</sub> )	112.56	76.27	94.41	32.2	62.94	59.54	61.24	5.4	
Swarnaprabha (G <sub>16</sub> )	100.24	72.77	86.50	27.4	60.10	52.96	56.53	11.9	
Mean	104.32	76.61			63.29	54.74			
Factors	C.D. (5%)	S.Em ±			C.D. (5%)	S.Em±			
Genotypes	8.730	3.088			5.460	1.932	]		
Treatment	3.086	1.092			1.931	0.683			
Interaction (G × T)	12.346	4.367			7.722	2.732			
DAT – Days after transplanting $T_1$ –Without Shade Net $T_2$ – Shade Net									

Genotypes	-	1000 Grai	n weight	( <b>g</b> )	grain yield per plant (g)			
	<b>T</b> <sub>1</sub>	$T_2$	Mean	Reduction (%)	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	Mean	Reduction (%)
Sahyadri-5 (G <sub>1</sub> )	26.20	24.36	25.28	7.0	23.33	8.04	15.69	65.5
Karjat-8 (G <sub>2</sub> )	13.43	12.04	12.74	10.4	19.71	6.53	13.12	66.8
Karjat-9 (G <sub>3</sub> )	19.71	19.32	19.51	2.0	18.35	7.05	12.70	61.5
Ratnagiri-4 (G <sub>4</sub> )	26.57	24.70	25.64	7.1	27.71	7.87	17.79	71.5
Ratnagiri-5 (G <sub>5</sub> )	12.75	12.49	12.62	2.1	24.41	8.67	16.54	64.4
Palghar-2 (G <sub>6</sub> )	12.73	11.94	12.34	6.2	22.46	10.36	16.41	53.8
Phondaghat-1 (G <sub>7</sub> )	22.34	20.74	21.54	7.2	18.29	8.50	13.39	53.5
Karjat-1-12-24-1-13 (G <sub>8</sub> )	24.97	22.37	23.67	10.4	17.59	7.37	12.48	58.1
Karjat-5-17-25-29-6 (G <sub>9</sub> )	24.62	20.99	22.80	14.8	17.87	6.97	12.42	61.0
Karjat-5-10-10-16-13 (G <sub>10</sub> )	18.37	16.86	17.62	8.2	20.07	7.37	13.72	63.2
Karjat-BM4 (G <sub>11</sub> )	18.51	18.06	18.29	2.4	14.85	6.07	10.46	59.0
Karjat-6-22-9-14-13 (G <sub>12</sub> )	24.29	21.78	23.04	10.3	18.03	7.58	12.80	57.9
Karjat-5-8-13-15-7 (G <sub>13</sub> )	28.89	25.67	27.28	11.1	16.09	7.93	12.01	50.7
Karjat-5-7-10-12-2 (G <sub>14</sub> )	23.31	21.95	22.63	5.8	16.17	7.52	11.84	53.4
Karjat-7 (G <sub>15</sub> )	24.03	22.89	23.46	4.8	18.51	7.08	12.79	61.7
Swarnaprabha (G <sub>16</sub> )	30.20	26.07	28.14	13.7	18.02	7.43	12.72	58.7
Mean	21.93	20.13			19.47	7.65		
Factors	C.D. (5%)	S.Em±			C.D. (5%)	S.Em ±		
Genotypes	0.9492	0.3358	1		1.2229	0.6118	1	
Treatment	0.3356	0.1187	]		0.4324	0.2163	]	
Interaction (G × T)	1.3424	0.4749	<u> </u>		1.7294	0.8651	<u> </u>	

**Table.2** Effects of normal light (Without Shade Net) and low light (Shade Net) on 1000 grain weight (g) and grain yield per plant (g) of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)

DAT – Days after transplanting  $T_1$  –Without Shade Net  $T_2$  – Shade Net

The result on effects of normal and low light on 1000 grain weight (g) of rice at harvesting stage is presented in Table 2. As regards treatment it was observed that in the at harvest stage the mean differences in 1000 grain weight were significantly. Significantly maximum 1000 grain weight was observed in  $T_1$  than  $T_2$  at harvest stage. In case of genotypes, mean of 1000 grain weight differs significantly. Significantly maximum 1000 grain weight (30.20 g) was observed in Swarnaprabha over other genotypes. In case of interaction, mean of 1000 grain weight differs significantly at harvest stage. Swarnaprabha showed higher 1000 grain weight in  $T_1$  than others. Among the genotypes in  $T_2$  treatment average 1000 grain weight was 20.13g to be recorded,

while genotype Swarnaprabha (26.07g) recorded higher 1000 grain weight than average 1000 grain weight.

The overall yield reduction percentage was observed maximum in Ratnagiri-4 (71.5%) followed by Karjat-8 (66.8%) whereas; minimum was recorded in Karjat-5-8-13-15-7 (50.7%) as compared to all the tested genotypes.

The maximum reduction was found in Karjat-5-17-25-29-6 (14.8 %) followed by Swarnaprabha (13.7 %) and the minimum reduction was found in Ratnagiri-5 (2.1 %) followed by Karjat-BM4 (2.4 %) in one thousand grain yield. Reduction in one thousand grain yield of rice under shade net as compared to without shade net condition. Such type of results was observed by Prabha *et al.*, (2004), Cai *et al.*, (1999), Stansel *et al.*, (1965), Matsushima *et al.*, (1953), Nayak and Murty (1993), Harmann restrepo and Garses (2013) and Barmudoi *et al.*, (2016).

The result on effects of normal and low. light on 1000 grain weight (g) of rice at harvesting stage is presented in Table 2.

As regards treatment it was observed that in the at harvest stage the mean differences in 1000 grain weight were significantly. Significantly maximum 1000 grain weight was observed in  $T_1$  than  $T_2$  at harvest stage.

In case of genotypes, mean of 1000 grain weight differs significantly. Significantly maximum 1000 grain weight (30.20 g) was observed in Swarnaprabha over other genotypes. In case of interaction, mean of 1000 grain weight differs significantly at harvest stage. Swarnaprabha showed higher 1000 grain weight in  $T_1$  than others. Among the genotypes in  $T_2$  treatment average 1000 grain weight was 20.13g to be recorded, while genotype Swarnaprabha (26.07g) recorded higher 1000 grain weight than average 1000 grain weight.

The maximum reduction was found in Ratnagiri-4 (71.5 %) followed by Karjat-8 (66.8 %) and the minimum reduction was found in Karjat-5-8-13-15-7 (50.7 %) followed by Karjat-5-7-10-12-2 (53.4 %) in grain yield.

Deng *et al.*, (2014) reported that low light causes impairment of the net photosynthetic rate as well as lower dry matter accumulation and sink capacity in rice plants and these significantly reduces the number of filled grains and 1000 grain weight, thereby leading to decrease grain yield.

Reduction in grain yield of rice under shade as compared to without shade net condition was also recorded by Patma *et al.*, (1993), Singh (2000), Swain *et al.*, (2004) and Singh (2005). Similar results were also reported by Thangaraj *et al.*, (1990), Yoshiba, S. (1981), Murty *et al.*, (1994), Ahmad *et al.*, (2009), Deng *et al.*, (2012), Goto *et al.*, (2009), Barmudoi *et al.*, (2016) and Kobata *et al.*, (2000).

It is concluded that, among the treatments studied, the grain yield per plant was differed significantly under without shade net and shade net condition in all the tested rice genotypes. Therefore, on the basis of overall yield reduction percentage, harvest index and other important traits under shade net, Palghar-2 genotype can be identified as relatively tolerant to light stress. Whereas, genotype Karjat-BM4 most sensitive for the shade net condition or low light tress in all the tested genotypes.

## References

Ahmad, A.S., Iqbal, S., Ahmad, T., Khaliq,W., Nasim, Z., Husnain, A., Hussain,M., Zia-Ul-Haq and Hoogenboom, G.

2009. Seasonal growth, radiation interception, its conversion efficiency and biomass production of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Under diverse agro-environments in Pakistan. Pak. J. Bot. 41: 1241-1257.

- Anonymous. 2016. Directorate of Economics and Statistics. Department of Agriculture and Cooperation. Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India.
- Anonymous. 2017. Directorate of Economics and Statistics. Department of Agriculture and Cooperation. Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India.
- Anonymous. 2017. World Agricultural Production. United States Department of Agriculture.
- Barmudoi, Basantaand Bharali, Bhagawan. 2016. Effects of light intensity and quality on physiological changes in winter rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *International Journal of Environmental and Agriculture Research (IJOEAR)*, ISSN: 2454-1850.Vol-2, Issue-3.
- Cai, Kunzheng and Luo, Shiming. 1999. Effect of shading on growth, development and yield formation of rice. Institute of Tropical and Subtropical Ecology, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou-510642. Vol.10 Issue (2): 193-196.
- Chen, C.C., Huang, M.Y. Lin, K. H., Hung, W. D. and Yang, C.M. 2014. Effects of light quality on the growth, development and metabolism of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Res. J. Biotech.* 9. 15-24
- Deng, Y.M., Li, C.C., Shao, Q.S., Ye, X.Q. and She, J.M. 2012. Differential responses of double petal and multi petal jasmine to shading: I. Photosynthetic characteristics and

chloroplast ultrastructure. *Plant Physiol Bioch* 55: 93–102.

- Fageria, N.K. 2007. Yield physiology of rice. J. Plant Nutrition. 30: 843-879.
- Gbadamosi, A., Emmanuel, and Daniel, M. Mary. 2014. Effect of light intensity on growth and yield of a Nigerian local rice variety-Ofada. *International Journal of Plant Research*, 4(4): 89-94.
- Goto, E. and Kumagai, S. 2009. The effect of temperature and shading on not chedbelly rice kernel in Hokkaido rice variety 'Nanatsuboshi'. *Japan J. Crop Sci*, 78(1): 35–42.
- Hermann, Restrepo and Gabriel, Garcés.
  2013. Evaluation of low light intensity at three phenological stages in the agronomic and physiological responses of two rice (*Oryza Sativa* L.) cultivars. Agronomía Colombiana, International Grains Council (IGC) 31: (2), 195-200.
- Kobata, T., Sugawara, M. and Takatu, S. 2000. Shading during the early grain filling period does not affect potential grain dry matter increase in rice. Agron J, 92 (3): 411–417.
- Matsushima, S., Yamaguchi, S., Okabe, T. and Komatsu N. 1953. Crop scientific studies on the yield-forecast of lowland rice: VIII. Effects of shading at different growing stages of rice upon the yield and yield-constitutional factors. *Japan J. Crop Sci.*, 22(4): 105–106.
- Murty, S.K., Dey, S.K., Swain P. and Baig, M.J. 1994. Low light stress tolerance restorers in hybrid rice breeding. Agro botanical publishers India, Bikaner. pp 281-284.
- Nakano H. 2000. Effect of earlystage shading of direct seeded rice on and yield components. *Jpn J Crop Sci*, 69(2): 182–188. (In Japanese with English abstract)

- Nayak S.K.; M.V.R. Murty and S.K. Dey 1993. A crop growth model on leaf area duration, canopy photosynthesis and productivity under low light situation. *Oryza*. 30: 337-342.
- Patma, V.; Sunee Sae-Lee and Surasak, S. (1993). Effect of tree leaf litter and shading on growth and yield of paddy rice in north east Thailand. *Kasetsart-Journal*. 27:219-222.
- Patma, V.; Sunee Sae-Lee and Surasak, S. (1993). Effect of tree leaf litter and shading on growth and yield of paddy rice in north east Thailand. *Kasetsart-Journal*. 27:219-222.
- Prabha, M.L., Vanangamudi, M., Thandapani, V. 2004. Effect of low light on yield and physiological attributes of rice. *Crop Manag. Physiol*, 29(2): 71–73
- Schoch, P.G. 1972. Effect of shading on structural characteristics of the leaf and yield of fruit in *Capsicum annum*. *J. Amer. Soc Hort. Sci.* 97:(4), 461-464
- Singh, S. 2000. Growth, yield and biochemical response of rice cultivars to low light and high temperature stress. *Oryza* 37 (1): 35-38.
- Singh, S. and Rama. 2005. Effect of lowlight stress at various growth phases on yield and yield components of two rice cultivars. *International rice research notes.* vol.30 No.2.
- Singh, S. and Rama. 2005. Effect of lowlight stress at various growth phases on yield and yield components of two rice cultivars. *International rice research notes.* vol.30 No.2.
- Stansel, J.W., Bolli, C.N., Thysell, J.R. and Hall, V.L. 1965. The influence of light intensity and nitrogen fertility on rice yield and components of yield. *Rice Journal* 68:34-35.
- Swain, P., and Nayak, S K. (2004). Effect of low light and moisture stress on

maintenance respiration in some rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) varieties. Oryza. 41(3&4): 113-116.

- Thangaraj, M. and Sivasubramanian, V.1990. Effect of low light intensity on growth and Productivity of irrigated rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) grown in Cauvery delta region. *Journal of Madras Agricultural Journal*. Vol. 77 No.56, pp. 2202-24
- Venkateswarlu, B., Prasad ,V. S. and Rao, A.V. 1977. Effects of low light intensity on different growth phases in rice (*Oryza sativa L.*). Plant and soil.47: 37-47.
- Vergara, B.S., Jackson, B. and Dutta, De. 1976. In 'Climate and Rice' IRRI, Philippines, 301-3016.
- Voleti, S. R. and Singh. 1996. Influence of low light irradiance on grain filling in rice (*Oryza saliva* L.) cultivars. Division of Floriculture, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi-110 012, India.
- Wang , Li., Fei Deng, Wan-Jun Ren, Wen-Yu Yang 2013. Effects of shading on starch pasting characteristics of indica hybrid rice. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal pone. 0068220.
- Wang, H., Wang, F.L., Wang, G. and Majourhat, K. 2007. The responses of photosynthetic capacity, chlorophyll fluorescence and chlorophyll content of nectarine (*Prunuspersica var Nectarinamaxim*) to greenhouse and field grown conditions. Sci. Hort. 112: 66–72, 97.
- Yang Dong, duan, Liu-Sheng, Xie, Hua-An, LI Zhao-Hu and Huang Ting-Xu. 2011. Effect of pre-flowering light deficiency on biomass accumulation and physiological characteristics of rice. Center for Crop Chemical Control Research, China Agricultural

University, Beijing. 100193, China. 19:2. PP.347-352.

- Yoshida, S. 1981. Fundamentals of rice crop science. International Rice Research Institute, Los Banos, Filipinas. *Pp*. 279.
- Yoshida, S. and Parao, F.T. 1976. Climatic influence on yield and yield components of low land rice in the tropics.474-494. In: IRRI, Climate and Rice, Los Banos, Philippines. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, 23(1): 93-107.